INTERVIEW GUIDE – ORGANIZED INTERESTS Comparative Research on Interest Group Politics in Europe (INTEREURO)

BASIC INFORMATION ON THE INTERVIEW AND INTERVIEWEE

Proposal ID:
Name of interviewee:
Name of organization: Organization ID:
Phone number: E-mail (if available):
Position in the organization during the past four years: Position at time of interview:
Date of interview:
Place of interview:
Time of interview:
Interview carried out by

PRELIMINARY REMARK

This interview is part of a research project on interest group involvement in EU decision-making. The project is carried out by research teams in nine different countries under the auspices of the European Science Foundation. For this project, we are currently conducting a survey with officials from various EU-level interest organizations on a sample of 100 legislative proposals.

Would you object to us listing you in the acknowledgements of our project? If you prefer to remain anonymous, we can guarantee that you will not be mentioned in our acknowledgements, that your responses to our questions will be treated confidentially, and that you will not be identifiable in any of the publications that result from this project. The interview is structured in an efficient way, with some closed questions, which enables us to conduct the interview very effectively.

In this interview, I want to talk with you about the Commission proposal [...]

Identification of the issues

1. Often, EU legislative processes are characterized by several lines of conflict around specific issues. Our research on this proposal reveals that there has been conflict with respect to the following issues. Would you agree that these were the main conflictual issues during the legislative process?

Issue 1	:				
yes O	no	O	from the beginning	0 at a later stage	O
Issue 2	:				
yes O	no	О	from the beginning	O at a later stage	Ο
Issue 3	:				
yes O	no	Ο	from the beginning	O at a later stage	O

2. If not, how would you define the conflictual issues in this proposal?

Issue 4:	from the beginning	0	later C	
Issue 5	from the beginning	O	later O	

- 3. Thinking about these issues, were they already part of the discussion at the point that the Commission adopted its proposal or was it at a later stage that these issues became important?
- 4. Within any organization certain issues will necessarily be viewed as more important than others. For each of the issues have we could discussed, their importance compared other assess issues you to that you are familiar with?

	More important	About equally important	Less important
Issue 1	О	О	О
Issue 2	О	О	О
Issue 3	О	О	О
Issue 4	О	О	О
Issue 5	О	О	О

5. On your each characterizing this did issue proposal, fewer shaping legislative organization invest more or the resources in outcome compared to other issues that you are familiar with?

	More resources	About the same	Fewer resources
Issue 1	0	О	О
Issue 2	O	О	О
Issue 3	0	О	О
Issue 4	О	О	О
Issue 5	O	О	О

Coalition strategies

- 6. In this section we are going to talk about your strategies, namely the lobbying or advocacy activities you undertook in order to influence or affect the legislative outcome. Before we start we would like to know whether
- 7. Based on an extensive analysis of media sources and expert interviews with policymakers we identified the following list of advocates [SHOW LIST 1].
- a) Can you check this list and see whether there are actors missing who actively tried to influence the legislative outcome? [By actors we mean interest groups, firms, civil society organizations or regional representations. We are interested in advocates who were both successful and unsuccessful in their attempts to influence the outcome.]

Thinking about the issues we have talked about, at times actors may cooperate with one another. We are particularly interested in the exchange of information between different advocates. We define "information" broadly. It may include advice on the best political strategy to be used, knowledge about others' strategy, as well as a range of sometimes confidential technical and scientific expertise.

- b) With regard to this issue, how frequently did you exchange information with the actors on this list? Was this never, rarely, sometimes, frequently or very frequently?
- c) Can you please indicate up to five actors who were the most active in lobbying on this proposal?

D to G are open questions. Write down the answers carefully.

- d) In order to influence EU policies advocates may forge <u>coalitions</u>. We understand coalitions as explicit agreements between you and other actors, aimed at coordinating efforts to influence EU legislation, for instance through the issuing of joint statements. If you were involved in this type of activity can you indicate with whom you formed a coalition to influence the legislative outcome of this proposal?
- e)Possibly there were other coalitions involved. What policies were these other coalitions seeking and who were members of these coalitions?
- f) Who took the <u>lead</u> in establishing all these coalitions, including the coalitions to which you belong?
- g)Which of these groups, including your own, was <u>influential</u> in European policymaking on the proposal concerning [Proposal description]? Please also consider stakeholders that took a different position than [NAME ORGANISATION].

Interactions with other actors

In order to influence legislation, interest groups, politicians, and public officials often interact with each other. In these interactions information plays a vital role. Such information may concern advice on how to approach other policymakers, knowledge about others' lobbying strategies, or technical and scientific expertise.

8. The following questions concern the different political institutions your organization might have provided information to with the purpose of influencing the legislative outcome. I will show you a list with different political institutions and I would like to know how regularly you provided these institutions with information. Was this never, rarely, sometimes, frequently or very frequently?

SHOW LIST 2

9. With respect to the proposal concerning [Proposal description] Initiative, did you provide information to any DGs and services in the European Commission? If so, which ones? And how frequently did you provide information to these DGs (rarely, sometimes, frequently or very frequently)

If yes, name DG or service	1 rarely	2 sometimes	3 frequently	4 very frequently
DG/service1	O	О	O	О
DG/service2	О	О	О	О
DG/service3	0	О	О	О
DG/service4	O	О	О	О

10. With respect to proposal concerning [Proposal description] company did you provide information to the Commissioners and/or their Cabinets? If so, which ones? And how frequently did you provide information to Commissioners and/or their Cabinets (rarely, sometimes, frequently or very frequently)

If yes, name Comm/Cab	1	2	3	4
	rarely	sometimes	frequently	very frequently
Comm/Cab1	О	О	О	О
Comm/Cab2	O	О	О	О
Comm/Cab3	O	O	О	О
Comm/Cab4	O	O	О	О

11.With respect to the proposal concerning [Proposal description] did you provide information to the member-state delegations? If so, which ones?

	500101101 11 00, 11111011 0			
If yes, name MS	1 rarely	2 sometimes	3 frequently	4 very frequently
MS1	O	О	О	О
MS2	O	О	О	O
MS3	О	О	О	O
MS4	О	О	О	0
MS6	О	О	О	О
MS7	О	О	О	О
MS8	O	О	О	O

12. About these contacts with the member-state delegations. In general, at which level did these contacts take place. Was this at the level of

	1 never	2 rarely	3 sometimes	4 frequently	5 very frequently
Ambassadors, diplomats in the Permanent Representations	0	О	О	О	О
National officials in the specialized working groups of the Council	О	О	O	О	О
Ministers, the ministerial level of the Council	O	О	O	О	О

13.Are there specific European regulatory agencies you consider important for this legislative proposal and whom you provided information to? If yes, who are they? And did you engage in such exchanges with them rarely, sometimes, frequently or very frequently?

If yes, name		Was this					
	1 rarely	2 sometimes	3 frequently	4 very frequently			
1	О О	О	О	О			
2	О	O	О	O			
3	О	O	O	O			
4	— о	О	О	О			

14.Looking back at this particular proposal, who, among all the actors we have been talking about, policymakers and societal interests (<u>but not your members</u>), would you say have been your most important sources of information?

[Try to get three, and with a rank order]

15.Information flows in two directions: Who, among all the actors we have been talking about, policymakers and societal interests (**but not your members**), have you most frequently provided information to? [Try to get three, and with a rank order]

Other activities

16. Which of the following activities did [NAME ORGANISATION] undertake to try to affect or influence legislative outcomes? How frequently were these activities used? (never, rarely, sometimes,

frequently or very frequently).

rrequently or very frequently).	1 never	2	3	4	5 very frequently
	Hevel	rarely	sometimes	frequently	very frequently
1. Organize press conferences or distributed press releases	O	0	O	O	О
2. Publish research reports and brochures	О	О	О	O	О
3. Active involvement in media debates such as giving interviews, editorials, opinion letters	0	О	О	O	О
4. Place advertisements in newspapers and magazines	O	O	O	O	O
5. Contact reporters and journalists to increase media attention	O	О	О	O	О
6. Encourage members and supporters to lobby policymakers, start a letter-writing campaign or to sign petitions directed at public officials	O	О	О	O	О
7. Stage protest activities involving members and supporters (strikes, consumer boycotts, public demonstrations)	Ο	O	O	O	О
8. Publish statements and position papers (on your own website)	О	О	О	О	О
9. Hire commercial consultants (agents who are paid to try to influence policy-makers on behalf of your organization)	Ο	O	O	O	O

17.Did any stakeholder that was on your side (who pursued the same policy goals) initiate or support legal proceedings (sues) that were related to the content of this proposal (**before, during or after the legislative process**)?

Yes O No O

IF YES

Did this happen...

Before the Commission adopted its proposal	O
During the legislative process	O
After the Council and the Parliament adopted the	0
legislative act	

18. How much time and energy does your organization spend on monitoring the implementation of this directive/regulation? Is this

ting an ective/regulation. Is ting		
We do not spend time and energy on monitoring implementation		
Manitaria a la comercia de alla conducada	0	
Monitoring happens occasionally and rarely	U	
We invest strongly in monitoring, but less than we spend during the	0	
legislative process		
We invest in monitoring, almost the same as during the during the	0	
legislative process		
Monitoring is very important; we spend more energy on it than during the	0	
legislative process		

19.Did the Commission involve you in the implementation	n of this directive/proposal after the Counci
and the Parliament adopted the legislative act?	

Yes	\cap	No	\mathbf{C}
1 5		110	

IF YES

Which form does this involvement take (regular meetings with EC committees, informal contacts, participation in the EU projects, actual implementation of the directive...)?

PROBE FOR THE FORM (COMMITTEE...), THE INTENSITY, AND OTHER ACTORS INVOLVED

20.If you consider all the resources that your organisation spent on getting its message across on this same proposal, roughly what percentage was spent

	1 0
	percentage
at the national level	
at the EU level	
outside the EU	

21. With respect to the same legislative proposal, roughly what percentage of your efforts consisted of activities that were addressed to the public and what percentage concerned efforts to gain direct access to policymakers?

	percentage
activities addressed to the	
public	
activities addressed to	
policymakers	

Some concluding questions on this proposal

22.Interest groups and civil society organizations might use different arguments when they try to communicate their message as effectively as possible. Did [NAME ORGANISATION] present different arguments to different political institutions on this proposal?

No, we convey the same message to all political institutions	O	→ What was the main message that you put forward? WRITE DOWN CAREFULLY THE WORDING OF THE RESPONDENT
Yes, we vary our message depending on the institutions that we targeted	O	→ If yes, in what way did you message vary depending on whether you targeted the European Commission, the European Parliament, the Council of European Union or the European Council?

23. Irrespective of your message, did you emphasize different aspects of this proposal when approaching different institutions? For instance [PUT CROSS IF APPLICABLE].

upproueining uniteres	Technical and scientific aspects	Economic consequence (for instance for employment, costs for	Legal and administrative implications	Political consequence (voters, members, public opinion)
		stakeholders)		
for the European Commission did you talk mostly about				
for Members of the European Parliament				
when approaching member-state delegations				

Are there any of these types of arguments you deliberately avoided when approaching a specific institution?

24.We have discussed different issues that have been the cause of conflict in the proposal and different actors that have been involved. Now, I would like to ask you what you think is at stake in this proposal at a more general level – or, in other words, what is the essence of this proposal at a more general level?

IF RESPONDENTS COMES UP WITH MULTIPLE ISSUES ASK FOR A RANKING (THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE)

25. For each issue, were the activities you and your supporters used aimed at...

	1.	2.	3.	4.
	Supporting the Commission	Shaping specific parts of the proposal, not blocking it	Shaping most of the proposal, not blocking it	Blocking the whole proposal
Issue 1	0	0	O	0
Issue 2	O	O	O	O
Issue 3	0	0	O	0
Issue 4	O	O	O	O
Issue 5	0	0	O	0

26.To what extent is the outcome on the different issues consistent with your organization's initial preferences? Is this outcome identical to your initial preferences (1), close to, but not identical to your initial preferences (2), a long way from your initial preferences (3) the exact opposite of your initial preferences (4)?

	()	Identical	Close To	A Long Way	The Opposite	Don't know
Issue 1		O	О	О	О	О
Issue 2		0	O	O	О	О
Issue 3		О	О	O	O	O
Issue 4		О	O	O	O	O
Issue 5		0	O	O	0	O

27. How would you rate [NAME ORGANISATION]'s influence on the different issues compared to that of your opponents or other organizations?

	More influence than opponents	Roughly the same influence as opponents	Less influence than opponents	Don't know	There were no opponents
Issue 1	О	О	О	О	O
Issue 2	O	О	O	О	O
Issue 3	0	O	O	О	O
Issue 4	O	O	O	O	O
Issue 5	0	0	O	О	O

How did your organization establish its policy position

28. Did you, during this legislative process, organize surveys among your constituency, supporters or members in order to learn more about their views?

Never	О
Rarely	О
Sometimes	O
Frequently	0
Very frequently	O

29. Given the fact that your organization might need to consult or involve its members, how long did it take for [NAME ORGANISATION] to adopt its position at the point when the Commission adopted its proposal in 2010? Was this a matter of

A few days	O
One week	О
Two week	О
One month	О
Two months	О
Three months	0
Four months (WRITE DOWN HOW	O
LONG)	
Members are not regularly consulted on	О
such issues	
We have no members	О

30. How did [NAME ORGANISATION] involve the members in the internal decision making with regard to this proposal?

31. With respect to this legislative proposal, roughly what percentage of your activities were aimed at influencing policymakers and what percentage concerned the involvement of your members in establishing and updating your organization's position?

	percentage
	percentage
advocacy, influencing	
policymakers	
involving members	

32. Thinking about the different issues in this proposal. Was your position established by?

32. Thinking about the different issues in this proposal. Was your position established by:				
	Consensus	Voting among	No members were	The
	among members	the members	involved in this issue	organizational leadership
Issue 1	О	О	О	О
Issue 2	0	О	О	O
Issue 3	0	О	0	O
Issue 4	O	O	O	O
Issue 5	0	О	0	O

33. How easy or difficult was it for your organization to establish its position on the different issues in this proposal? Was this?

	Very easy	Easy	Difficult	Very difficult
Issue 1	O	0	O	O
Issue 2	0	О	0	О
Issue 3	0	О	O	О
Issue 4	O	O	O	O
Issue 5	0	О	O	О

34.If there were difficulties, to what extent were such difficulties exceptional or unexceptional for your organization?

Very exceptional	О
Rather exceptional	O
Neither exceptional or un-	О
exceptional	
Rather unexceptional	О
Very unexceptional	О

Organizational structure

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS: Questions preceded by a L will only be asked if the Leiden coding does not provide a clear answer. We estimate that most of this data can be collected beforehand. If the data is not available the interviewer tries to find this information through web-searches, Google, Wikipedia.... Some questions might be difficult for the respondents, in particular in bigger organizations. If the respondent does not know the answer, ask for an insider's name (and email, phone-number) who is more familiar with these matters. The interviewer calls this person afterwards and tries to get as much information as possible from another expert.

L35. In which year was your organization established?

L36. We are now going to ask you some questions about your organization's members. The term "member" can cover a host of different meanings. We define it formally. Members are those whom your organisation acknowledges as members as laid down by statute. These members may pay a subscription and can have specific rights within your organization (e.g. electing office holders, or voting rights at Annual General Meetings). They may be individuals, other organisations or businesses. Do you have members in the sense we have defined?

Yes or no (if no, some follow-up questions will be asked => question L33)

1 cs of no (n no, some fonow up qu	COCIONO WIN DC	askea - question i
If yes, does your organization	Membership	And how many
have	Type	(approximately)
Individuals as members	O	
National interest organizations as	X	85
members		
Euro-level organizations as	X	10
members		
Companies as members	O	
Local and regional governments	O	
as members		
Institutions as members	O	

L37. If your organization has no members in the strict sense we have defined above, we would like to know the number of individuals, organizations, companies or local/regional governments that support your organization without being a formal member. These individuals may donate money, give gifts in

kind, or volunteer time to your organization.

	Does this	And how many
	supportive	(approximately)
	constituency	
	consist of	
Individuals	О	
National interest	O	
organizations		
Euro-level organizations	0	
Companies	O	
Local and regional	О	
governments		
Institutions	O	

38. Organizations are financed in a variety of ways. Can you first indicate your organization's sources of income and then indicate your best estimate of the percentage these sources contribute to the overall budget?

	You gain money from	%
membership subscriptions	O	
payments for services and sales to government	О	
agencies, firms, or other organizations		
payments from national projects and programs	O	
payments from EU projects and programs	O	
donations from individuals	O	
donations from foundations, companies, or other	O	
organizations		
income from savings and investments	O	
other [specify]	О	

39. How much competition does your organization face in getting these resources?

no competition from other actors	O
little competition from other	О
actors	
moderate competition from other	O
actors	
strong competition from other	О
actors	
very strong competition from	O
other actors	

L40. How many full-time staff does your organization employ in its Brussels' office? With 'staff' we refer to the number employees on your pay-roll? Please give the number of paid full-time equivalents (FE), not the number of individuals.

41. There are possibly non-staff members that are strongly involved in the general activities of [NAME ORGANISATION]. This may include people who attend meetings on a regular basis, who assist you with the organisation of activities and conferences or who carry out studies or prepare policy positions. Some of these people may be volunteers, others are could be professionals paid by member organizations or firms. The latter group may consist of seconded experts from the member organizations.

How many people do you roughly count in these categories?

	<u> </u>	0 7	
	None	Volunteers	Professionals
Number			

42. Thinking more generally and beyond this specific proposal we would like to know whether [NAME ORGANISATION] has:

	No	Yes
1. paid third parties such as consultancy firms or research institutes to carry out policy research	O	O
2. has staff specialized in external communication, a communication department that monitors the relations with the media and organizes public oriented activities	O	O
3. hire consultancy firms, public relations firms or lobbyists for the purpose of influencing policymaking processes	O	O
4. poll the general public on policy issues of general concern to you	О	O
5. organizes surveys among your constituency, supporters or members in order to learn more about their interests	O	O

Thank you for your time today and for answering our questions. Is there anything that you would like to comment upon or feel that we have overlooked? Where there any questions you found particularly challenging or difficult to answer? Where you surprised that we didn't ask you any specific questions?

Immediately after the interview, please write down your judgment on the "quality" of the interviewee; that is, how well informed the interviewee appeared? (A-E Scale)